

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,141		07/20/2001	Peter Emig	0691-070	9859	
23622	7590	09/27/2002				
	GABRIEL P. KATONA GOODWIN PROCTER L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE 40TH FLOOR				HABTE, KAHSAY		
NEW YORK		022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1624		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002	g		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
•.	•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	Offic Action Summary	09/910,141	EMIG ET AL.
	Ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAIL INC DATE AND	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624
1 01100 10	• •		
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/	70.400	
2a)□			
3)	/ 	This action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	wance except for formal matters er <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	
Application	on Papers	er election requirement.	
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11) 🗌 Ti	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) approved b) disapp	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	,
12) 🔲 TI	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) ⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	(-) (-)
1	. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
	. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No.
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage
14) <u></u> Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(e) (to a provisional application)
a) [☐ The translation of the foreign language prokensing the language prokent is made of a claim for domest	visional application has been re	aceived
Attachment(s)	- princip under 00 0.0.0, 99 12	.v anu/v: 121.
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	6\ Notice of lafa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trade TO-326 (Rev. (0.4.043	tion Summary	Part of Paner No. 0

Application/Control Number: 09/910,141

Art Unit: 1624

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are now pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, Claims 1-6 (in part), 7-8, and 9-14 (in part) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (a) the number of members in a ring is not a valid ground for requiring restriction and (b) same or different "recognized class of chemical compounds" is a conclusory statement and has no bearing on the validity of restriction requirement. The examiner disagrees with applicants. Applicant's argument is in contradiction with the restriction requirement practiced in USPTO. Number of members in a ring is an important feature in defining class of compounds. For example, one skilled in the art would not consider a 6membered ring with one nitrogen such as pyridines and piperidines to be in the same category as a 6-membered ring that have two nitrogens (pyrimidines, pyrazines, pyridazines, piperazines, etc.). Thus, monoazines, diazines, and azepines do not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art. For example, pyridines or piperidines are classified in class 546, azepines are classified in class 540, and diazines are classified in class 544. This is evidence that these are considered different classes of art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,141

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugihara et al. (US Pat. No. 4,937,246). The cited reference discloses on column 23 (WORKING EXAMPLE 11) the synthesis of 1-(2-quinolylcarbonyl)-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)piperazine dihydrocholride that is the same as applicants, when applicant's formula (1) has the following substituents: R,=R1-R3=H, Z=O, n=2, m=0, and R⁴ is trimethoxy substituted benzyl.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There has been recited in claim 12 a method of treating tumors in general, but the specification does not teach treatment of tumors in general.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,141

Art Unit: 1624

The claim sets forth the treatment of cancer generally. However, there never has been a compound capable of treating cancer generally. There are compounds that treat a range of caners, but no one has ever been able to figure out how to get a compound to be effective against tumors generally, or even a majority of tumors. Thus, the existence of such a "silver bullet" is contrary to our present understanding in oncology. Even the most broadly effective antitumor agents are only effective against a small fraction of the vast number of different cancers known. This is true in part because cancers arise from a wide variety of sources, such as viruses (e.g. EBV, HHV-8, and HTLV-1), exposure to chemicals such as tobacco tars, genetic disorders, ionizing radiation, and a wide variety of failures of the body's cell growth regulatory mechanisms. Different types of cancers affect different organs and have different methods of growth and harm to the body, and different vulnerabilities. Thus, it is beyond the skill of oncologists today to get an agent to be effective against cancers generally, evidence that the level of skill in this art is low relative to the difficulty of such a task.

Further, "tumor" covers more than just cancers. It also covers many neoplasms, cancerous or not. A neoplasm is any abnormal tissue that grows by cellular proliferation more rapidly than normal, or continues to grow after the stimulus that initiated the new growth has ceased, or shows lack (partial or complete) of structural organization and/or coordination with surrounding tissue. It can be benign or malignant. Thus, such a term, also covers precancerous conditions such as lumps, lesions, and polyps. In addition, "tumor" covers things other than neoplasms. It also covers any kind of swelling arising

Art Unit: 1624

from inflammation. Thus, the claim would cover treatment of many kinds of inflammation. The specification cannot support that.

When the best efforts have failed to achieve a goal, it is reasonable for the PTO to require evidence that such a goal has been accomplished, *In re Ferens*, 163 USPQ 609. The failure of skilled scientists to achieve a goal is substantial evidence that achieving such a goal is beyond the skill of practitioners in that art, *Genentech vs Novo Nordisk*, 42 USPQ2nd 1001, 1006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

- a. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are rejected because there is no definition for variables **P** and **Q**. What are they?
- b. In claim 1 (page 32, line 45), the phrase "or a a C_{2-10} heteroaryl" is incorrect. It should read as "or a C_{2-10} heteroaryl".

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

Art Unit: 1624

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.

Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH September 25, 2002 Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624